Investigating the Properties of Astrophysical Cosmic-ray Sources based on the CALET Electron+Positron Spectrum

JPS annual meeting 2023 Sendai, 2023/9/16



Holger Motz

Kanagawa University

Introduction

- Common assumption: Cosmic rays originate from shock-wave acceleration in supernova remnants (SNR)
 - Source spectrum index (before propagation) around two
 - About 10⁵¹ erg kinetic energy per supernova, conversion into cosmic rays with few percent efficiency
 - Electrons one order of magnitude lower than proton flux: 10⁴⁸ erg in electron cosmic rays per SNR
- Investigated main question: Is the measured electron spectrum compatible with these assumptions?
- Data:
 - CALET all-electron flux shown at ICRC2021 over the full energy range from 10.6 GeV to 4.8 TeV
 - AMS-02 positron-only flux from 2 GeV to 1 TeV
- Concept:
 - Calculate flux from random samples of individual SNRs and pulsars throughout the galaxy
 - Fit to the data by adjusting the average of source spectrum power-law indices $\gamma_{i(SNR)}$ and $\gamma_{i(pulsar)}$ and acceleration efficiencies $\eta_{(SNR)}$ and $\eta_{(pulsar)}$, scan cut-off energies $E_{cut(SNR)}$ and $E_{cut(pulsar)}$ in discrete values

Propagation Model



- Calculation of nuclei spectra with DRAGON tuned to explain measurements of AMS-02, CALET and Voyager.
- A common injection spectrum for all primary nuclei species is assumed, structures (hardening, softening) in the observed spectra are due to propagation effects from rigidity and position dependence of diffusion coefficient.
- Secondary electron and positron component of background for the dark matter limit fit also taken from this DRAGON calculation.
- Reference: HM, A Cosmic-Ray Propagation Model based on Measured Nuclei Spectra, POS ICRC2023 068

Pulsar and SNR Sample Creation



Spatial distribution and SN rate:

The interstellar environment of our galaxy, K. Ferriere, Rev.Mod.Phys. 73, 1031-1066 (2001) (same model as used in DRAGON for determining the propagation parameters)

Pulsar birth rate:

The galactic population of young γ -ray pulsar, Kyle P. Watters and Roger W. Romani, 2011 ApJ 727 123

For each of the studied samples, source properties are randomly generated (pulsars first \rightarrow add SNR to each pulsar \rightarrow add more SNRs without pulsar)

Kinetic energy (SN explosion, pulsar rotation) $Q_{_{SNR}} = 10^{51\pm1}$ erg, log-Gaussian spread

 $Q_{\text{pulsar}} = 10^{49.3 \pm 1.01} \text{ erg}$, log-Gaussian spread, fit to energies from ATNF catalog pulsars, calculate energy as

 $Q_{pulsar} = \dot{Q} T^2 / \tau$; $\tau = 10 kyr$

Release delay time (time CR are trapped in pulsar wind nebula) for pulsars up to 60 kyr in steps of 10 kyr (SNR: instant emission)

Known pulsars and associated SNR from ATNF catalog added, random sources in same distance and age bin removed if existing in the sample.

Calculating the Flux at Earth from Pulsars and SNR

 $\phi(E) = \frac{Q_0 \eta}{\pi^{3/2} r_{dif}^3} E_0^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{E_0}{E_{cut}}} \frac{b(E_0)}{b(E)} e^{-\frac{r^2}{r_{dif}^2}} ; r_{dif} = 2\sqrt{\int_E^{E_0} \frac{D(E')}{b(E')} dE'} D(E) = D_{0(@sol)} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{\delta_l} \left(1 + \left(\frac{E}{E_{bl}}\right)^{\frac{\delta_l - \delta}{s_l}}\right)^{s_l} \left(1 + \left(\frac{E}{E_{bh}}\right)^{\frac{\delta - \delta_h}{s_h}}\right)^{-s_h} D(E) = b_{IC}(E) + b_{SYN}(E)$ (IC takes Klein-Nishina effect into account) source spectrum parameters: efficiency η , index γ , cut-off energy E_{cut} source properties: total energy Q_0 , distance r, diffusion time t_{dif}

propagation parameters: D_0 , δ , δ_l , δ_h , E_{bl} , E_{bh} , s

Calculation method adopted from K. Asano et al. 2022 ApJ 926 5

semi-analytic calculation for 7.5 million sources not feasible inside the fitting procedure \rightarrow combined flux of all sources pre-calculated for several indices \rightarrow interpolation used in the fitting procedure to quickly get the flux for any index value \rightarrow injection spectrum cutoff energies are scanned

parameters (10 bins per

decade on log scale)

Fit to CALET and AMS-02



Charge sign and rigidity depended solar modulation potential based on Ilias Cholis, Dan Hooper, Tim Linden Phys. Rev. D 93, 043016 (2016) "A Predictive Analytic Model for the Solar Modulation of Cosmic Rays"

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_{1\pm} \left(\frac{1 + (R/R_0)^2}{((R/R_0)^3)} \right)$$

4 parameters: Φ_0, Φ_{1+} (positive charge), Φ_{1-} (negative charge), R_0

Parameter Space

Parameter space covered by the 108 well fitting models.

Colored dots represent the bestfit locations, also indicating the prediction for events in CALET above 4.8 TeV.

Gold-blue-cyan contours show the regions and sample density (by Gaussian kernel density estimation) where $\chi^2 < 1$ - limit, and red contours show the region where $\chi^2 > 90\%$ -limit.



Correlation Efficiency – Index





- SNR source spectrum index covers a range of approximately 2 to 3
- strong correlation with acceleration efficiency
- A majority of samples clusters around $\eta_{(SNR)}$ =5×10⁻⁴ or 5×10⁴⁷ erg of energy emitted in electron cosmic rays per SNR

- pulsar source spectrum index generally harder than the SNR source spectrum index
- range of about 1.4 to 2.5
- strong correlation with acceleration efficiency
- $\eta_{(pulsar)}$ is found to be in the range of a few 10^{-5} ,
 - $\rightarrow~$ realistic value for the acceleration efficiency.

Cut-off Energy Ranges



This red region in the $E_{cut(pulsar)} - E_{cut(SNR)}$ plane, which was scanned, but in which χ^2 of all samples was found to be above the 90%CL threshold, can be considered disfavored. SNR cut-off energy from several hundred GeV to $\sim 10^{10}$ GeV.

Highest values not realistic (magnetic confinement requirement) but no constraint from current electron and positron cosmic ray data on this parameter.

The unbounded SNR cut-off requires the source index to be softer than 2.3

Harder spectra would be cut-off only by energy loss \rightarrow spiky structures not compatible with the smoothness of the measurement

Predictions for CALET above 4.8 TeV

Example fit with strong contribution from Vela in the TeV region, predicted number of events in CALET above 4.8 TeV: 11.9 (highest):



Predictions for CALET above 4.8 TeV

Example fit with sudden drop around 3-4 TeV, predicted number of events in CALET above 4.8 TeV: 0.04 (lowest):



Conclusions

- The CALET all-electron and the AMS-02 positron-only flux can be fit well by the overlapping spectra of randomly generated SNR and pulsar populations if adjusting the average source spectrum parameters to the data.
- The found fit parameters from 108 studied samples agree with the common assumptions on the electron-positron cosmic ray origin.
- Future extensions of the CALET spectrum to higher energy may provide important information, as the current predictions for the above 4.8 TeV range leave a wide range of potential outcomes, with up to 11.9 events expected to be detected in CALET with 2 10⁸ seconds of live-time.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the CALET collaboration team in making the preliminary results published at ICRC2021 available for use in this work.

This work is supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) Grant No. 19H05608 and by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant No. JP21H05463.

Backup Slides



Spectrum Interpolation (Index)

- Calculating the propagated spectrum for 7.5 million sources takes several minutes \rightarrow not feasible to put this through a minimizer
- Solution: Calculate spectrum for selected values, compensate for the index difference and interpolate to get intermediate values:



$$F(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} F(\gamma_1) \left(\frac{E}{GeV}\right)^{\gamma_1 - \gamma} + \frac{\gamma - \gamma_1}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} F(\gamma_2) \left(\frac{E}{GeV}\right)^{\gamma_2 - \gamma}$$

Treatment of systematic errors

- The spectrum measured by CALET has systematic errors with known energy dependence
- Instead of adding the systematic error quadratically to the systematic error, the data is shifted systematically by the function Δ calculated in the same way as those in the S.M. of Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 261102 (2018) with the normalization coefficients as fitted nuisance parameters
- The systematic uncertainties of Normalization, Tracking, Charge Selection, Electron Identification and Monte Carlo are fitted in this way,
- The squared weight of each uncertainty is added to the total χ^2 of the fit, while the fitting function is shifted as represented by the gray area.

