

#### Three Years of CALET Ultra Heavy Cosmic Ray Observations

Brian Flint Rauch and Bob Binns for the CALET Collaboration April APS, April 14, 2019



#### **CALET Collaboration**



O. Adriani<sup>25</sup>, Y. Akaike<sup>2</sup>, K. Asano<sup>7</sup>, Y. Asaoka<sup>9,31</sup>, M.G. Bagliesi<sup>29</sup>, E. Berti<sup>25</sup>, G. Bigongiari<sup>29</sup>, W.R. Binns<sup>32</sup>, S. Bonechi<sup>29</sup>, M. Bongi<sup>25</sup>, P. Brogi<sup>29</sup>, A. Bruno<sup>15</sup>, J.H. Buckley<sup>32</sup>, N. Cannady<sup>13</sup>, G. Castellini<sup>25</sup>, C. Checchia<sup>26</sup>, M.L. Cherry<sup>13</sup>, G. Collazuol<sup>26</sup>, V. Di Felice<sup>28</sup>, K. Ebisawa<sup>8</sup>, H. Fuke<sup>8</sup>, T.G. Guzik<sup>13</sup>, T. Hams<sup>3</sup>, N. Hasebe<sup>31</sup>, K. Hibino<sup>10</sup>, M. Ichimura<sup>4</sup>, K. Ioka<sup>34</sup>, W. Ishizaki<sup>7</sup>, M.H. Israel<sup>32</sup>, K. Kasahara<sup>31</sup>, J. Kataoka<sup>31</sup>, R. Kataoka<sup>17</sup>, Y. Katayose<sup>33</sup>, C. Kato<sup>23</sup>, Y.Kawakubo<sup>1</sup>, N. Kawanaka<sup>30</sup>, K. Kohri<sup>12</sup>, H.S. Krawczynski<sup>32</sup>, J.F. Krizmanic<sup>2</sup>, T. Lomtadze<sup>27</sup>, P. Maestro<sup>29</sup>, P.S. Marrocchesi<sup>29</sup>, A.M. Messineo<sup>27</sup>, J.W. Mitchell<sup>15</sup>, S. Miyake<sup>5</sup>, A.A. Moiseev<sup>3</sup>, K. Mori<sup>9,31</sup>, M. Mori<sup>21</sup>, N. Mori<sup>25</sup>, H.M. Motz<sup>31</sup>, K. Munakata<sup>23</sup>, H. Murakami<sup>31</sup>, S. Nakahira<sup>20</sup>, J. Nishimura<sup>8</sup>, G.A De Nolfo<sup>15</sup>, S. Okuno<sup>10</sup>, J.F. Ormes<sup>25</sup>, S. Ozawa<sup>31</sup>, L. Pacini<sup>25</sup>, F. Palma<sup>28</sup>, V. Pal'shin<sup>1</sup>, P. Papini<sup>25</sup>, A.V. Penacchioni<sup>29</sup>, B.F. Rauch<sup>32</sup>, S.B. Ricciarini<sup>25</sup>, K. Sakai<sup>3</sup>, T. Sakamoto<sup>1</sup>, M. Sasaki<sup>3</sup>, Y. Shimizu<sup>10</sup>, A. Shiomi<sup>18</sup>, R. Sparvoli<sup>28</sup>, P. Spillantini<sup>25</sup>, F. Stolzi<sup>29</sup>, S. Sugita<sup>1</sup>, J.E. Suh<sup>29</sup>, A. Sulaj<sup>29</sup>, I. Takahashi<sup>11</sup>, M. Takayanagi<sup>8</sup>, M. Takita<sup>7</sup>, T. Tamura<sup>10</sup>, N. Tateyama<sup>10</sup>, T. Terasawa<sup>7</sup>, H. Tomida<sup>8</sup>, S. Torii<sup>9,31</sup>, Y. Tunesada<sup>19</sup>, Y. Uchihori<sup>16</sup>, S. Ueno<sup>8</sup>, E. Vannuccini<sup>25</sup>, J.P. Wefel<sup>13</sup>, K. Yamaoka<sup>14</sup>, S. Yanagita<sup>6</sup>, A. Yoshida<sup>1</sup>, and K. Yoshida<sup>22</sup>

18) Nihon University, Japan 1) Aoyama Gakuin University, Japan 19) Osaka City University, Japan 2) CRESST/NASA/GSFC and Universities Space Research 20) RIKEN, Japan Association, USA 21) Ritsumeikan University, Japan 3) CRESST/NASA/GSFC and University of Maryland, USA 22) Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan 4) Hirosaki University, Japan 23) Shinshu University, Japan 5) Ibaraki National College of Technology, Japan 24) University of Denver, USA 6) Ibaraki University, Japan 25) University of Florence, IFAC (CNR) and INFN, Italy 7) ICRR, University of Tokyo, Japan 26) University of Padova and INFN, Italy 8) ISAS/JAXA Japan 27) University of Pisa and INFN, Italy 9) JAXA, Japan 28) University of Rome Tor Vergata and INFN, Italy 10) Kanagawa University, Japan 29) University of Siena and INFN, Italy 11) Kavli IPMU, University of Tokyo, Japan 12) KEK, Japan 30) University of Tokyo, Japan 31) Waseda University, Japan 13) Louisiana State University, USA 32) Washington University-St. Louis, USA 14) Nagoya University, Japan 33) Yokohama National University, Japan 15) NASA/GSFC, USA 34) Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Japan 16) National Inst. of Radiological Sciences, Japan 17) National Institute of Polar Research, Japan



#### **CALET System Overview**





#### CALorimetric Electron Telescope

CALorimeter (CAL)



- Mass: 612.8 kg
- JEM Standard Payload Size 1850mm(L) x 800mm(W) x 1000mm(H)
- Power Consumption: 507 W(max)
- Telemetry: Medium 500 kbps (6.5GB/day)

Low 50 kbps

#### CALET Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (CGBM)





#### **CALET-CAL** Detector

CHD-FEC MAPMIT INC-FEC PMT TASC-FEC APD/PD BASE PANEL		• 30 radiation length deep alorimeter designed to detect lectrons and gamma-rays to 20 eV and cosmic rays up to 1 PeV •	Geometric Factor: - 1200 cm <sup>2</sup> sr for electrons, light nuclei - 1000 cm <sup>2</sup> sr for gamma-rays - 4000 cm <sup>2</sup> sr for ultra-heavy nuclei ΔE/E: - ~2% (>100GeV) for e, gamma - 30~35% for protons, nuclei e/p separation: ~10 <sup>5</sup> Charge resolution: 0.15 – 0.3 <i>e</i> Angular resolution: - 0.2° for gamma-rays > ~50GeV
	CHD (Charge Detector)	IMC (Imaging Calorimeter)	TASC (Total Absorption Calorimeter)
Function	Charge Measurement (Z=1-40)	Arrival Direction, Particle ID	Energy Measurement, Particle ID
Sensor (+ Absorber)	Plastic Scintillator : 14 × 2layers Unit Size: 32mm x 10mm x 450mm	Scintillating fibers: 448 x 16 layers Unit size: 1mm <sup>2</sup> x 448 mm Total thickness of Tungsten: 3 X <sub>0</sub>	PWO log: 16 x 12 layers Unit size: 19mm x 20mm x 326mm Total Thickness of PWO: 27 X <sub>0</sub>
Readout	PMT+CSA	64 -anode MAPMT + ASIC	APD/PD+CSA PMT+CSA ( for Trigger)@top layer

04/14/2019



# Ultra Heavy Cosmic Ray Analysis

- CALET has a special UH CR trigger utilizing the CHD and the top 4 layers of the IMC that:
  - has an expanded geometry factor of ~4000 cm<sup>2</sup>sr
  - has a very high duty cycle due to low event rate
- Analysis presented here uses data with UH triggers and good trajectories
- Relative abundances of elements below 14Si impacted as they only trigger at higher incidence angles
- UH analysis requires specialized data corrections and selections optimized for UH range using <sub>26</sub>Fe





## **CALET UH Analysis Status**

- Recently obtained ~3 years of CALET Level 2 PASS03.1 data
  Previous work on 17 months of data
- Still applying previously developed analysis to new dataset
  Present status of time and position response corrections shown
- Current results from analysis of 17 months of data shown
  - Application of selections and corrections
  - Comparison of CALET UH abundances with SuperTIGER & ACE



# **CALET Primary CAL Calibrations**



- Primary CALET CAL calibrations use noninteracting 2He and 1H
- CHD layers are well calibrated and stable with time
- Calibrations are optimized for CALET primary science

04/14/2019



# CHD 26 Fe Time Corrections

- CHD time step histograms filled until at least 500 <sub>26</sub>Fe range events in each CHD paddle
- In each time step 26 Fe peaks fit with a Gaussian for each paddle and paddle average time steps calculated
- CHD paddle signals multiplied by the ratio of the mean of both layers over the full dataset to the paddle time step mean



## CHD 26 Fe Time Contours



#### **Corrected Time Contours**





#### **CHD** Position Correction Method

- CHD paddles divided into thirds of the paddle width, (1.07 cm) segments
- <sup>14</sup>Si and <sub>26</sub>Fe peaks fit with Gaussian for each segment
- CHD paddle signal multiplied by the ratio of each layer mean to the segment mean





# CHD 26 Fe Position Dependence

CHDX

CHDY





# CHD <sub>26</sub>Fe After Position Correction

CHDX







# CHD 14Si Position Dependence

CHDX

CHDY





# CHD 14Si After Position Correction

CHDX







#### **Reduced Dataset Charge Histogram**

- Selections on 17 month dataset:
  - Zest > 19
  - Theta < 45 deg</li>
  - STRM > 4.5 GV
  - Z Consistency
  - IMC Energy Correction
- We can clearly see well resolved peaks for <sub>32</sub>Ge, <sub>34</sub>Se, and <sub>38</sub>Sr.
- <sub>30</sub>Zn is more than a shoulder, but is not clearly resolved. Even a small improvement in resolution would help a lot here.
- More statistics should be a major help in better defining the peaks
- Geomagnetic cutoff for each trajectory should help in rejecting low energy particles that are very likely broadening the distributions.



D:\Files\CALET\Data\_Brian\_12.17.2018\_interpolated\si\_fe\_binwise\_correction\1510-1704\_si-fe\_binwise-1



### **Event Distribution**

- To estimate the abundances detected, we used a maximum likelihood fitting routine to fit the data.
- Fits reasonably good up to 34Se
- For higher charges, the low statistics resulted in poor fits.
- For even-Zs above <sub>34</sub>Se (<sub>36</sub>Kr & <sub>38</sub>Sr) the abundances were initially estimated by taking cuts in the valleys.
- Using SuperTIGER abundances, half of the odd-Zs on either side of the even-Z charge was subtracted off of the <sub>36</sub>Kr & <sub>38</sub>Sr numbers to estimate their abundances.





#### **Comparing Relative Abundances**

- The ACE and ST data are "in-space" abundances.
- The CALET data have not yet been corrected to the top of the instrument.
  - Those corrections will be small, so they will not change things materially.
- The agreement with ST and ACE-CRIS appears to be quite good.
- Additional data and anticipated improved resolution should result in reduced error bars.



C:\Users\wrb\Box Sync\CALET\Data\_Brian\_12.17.2018\_interpolated\si\_fe\_binwise\_correction\CALET-ST\_compare\_TOA



#### Summary

- Analysis results have been done on 17 months worth of data.
- Work in progress on ~3 year dataset that should help considerably in better defining the low-statistics peaks.
- At present we are able to resolve even-Z elements <sub>30</sub>Zn, <sub>32</sub>Ge, <sub>34</sub>Se, and it looks like we have a low-statistics peak at <sub>38</sub>Sr.
- $_{30}$ Zn peak is heavily overlapped by the  $_{28}$ Ni and  $_{26}$ Fe tails, but fit abundance agrees with other measurements.
- The CALET UH element ratios relative to <sub>26</sub>Fe show good agreement with SuperTIGER and ACE abundances.
- Work that graduate student Wolfgang Zober is doing on cutoff rigidity for every event is expected to improve our resolution in charge by eliminating low energy particles which presently contribute to broadening our resolution in Z.